Preserve

App. F. IX-4

192 6-27

File No. 49-26

Previous References (LB)

Serial Nos. 29247

Later References
LB 10-28 (18-19)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 29 & 47

Part B for March 1928

SUBJECT

Entertainment of an additional Sanitary Inspector in addition to the existing San. Inspectors retained under the D.- Board

To The Presdt D.- Bd Bangalore
" Comptroller
8247
G O No L 5896-7/ LB 49-26-47 dy 24.3.28

Sanctions are more hard pending final order on the general question

28/3/28

Serial No.

17

and 10 Attendors have been proposed for work under the new Board against 12 San. Inspectors, 10 Attendors and 1 peons employed by the old Board. In para 4(1) of this Office Circular letter No. 5210-25/GB 115-26-17 of the 31st Jan. 1926 it has been observed that it is necessary that District Boards, whose finances have been curtailed on account of their ceasing to have the benefit of the Mahatarfa tax, should have only a few Sanitary Inspectors as are essential for performing the functions devolving on the Boards under the new Reg.

Against the above suggestion, the President has now stated that withdrawal of Mahatarfa income does not affect the resources of the District Board, as hereafter under the Statute the entire income (i.e. 76%) of the cess on land revenue will revert to the District Board, which will be about the double the income realised from Mahatarfa and that besides, there are other sources of income, such as fees from Shandies, interest on investments etc and that, as such there will be no financial embarrassment for the entertainment of the staff now proposed by the District Board.

~~Submitted for orders~~

1/4/

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 49 of 1926

Serial No.

On the proposals of the District Board, the Dy Comr has remarked that the Board are spending a disproportionate amount of the on Sanitary improvements and the Staff and H have got very little left under the new Rep

Sub for orders

4/4
5/4/27

What are the duties of these San^y Inspect How do they differ from those of the Village Panchayat Inspectors? Please put up order in this matter

Mw
7/4

A

The duties of the Panchayat Inspectors has been defined in Govt Noth No. L 6527/KB 26-26-151 of the 8th Feb 1927 and the duties detailed in the Office note of 26-26 put up

Information from the President of Dist Board have been called for asking them what duties they are going to assign to the San^y Inspectors information is not yet complete.

Have you asked Dist Board Please

Remind please Mw
21/4

4/4
14/4/27
14
20

The Dy Comr Bangalore Dist has also been requested to furnish info in the matter and a reminder has been issued.

Await reply
Mw

27/4/27

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
30 APR 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. DIVISION
2914

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

Serial No.

29 Letter No C. 525/26-27 from the President Dist Board of Bangalore

Submits a copy of the resolution of the New District Board stating because that the services of one Sanitary Inspector is necessary for each Taluk along with a Reserve San^y Inspector in the District Board Office instead of one San^y Inspector for two Taluks, as resolved by the Finance Committee, as each Taluk has got a lot of works to be carried out

The Finance Committee of old District Board proposed the retention of the following Staff for work under the new District Board.-

Name of Taluk	San^y Inspector	Attender	
1 Bangalore	/	/	
2 Kankanhalli	/	/	
3 Anekal & Hoskote	/	/	/
4. Denkanikote & Doddaballapur	/	/	/
5 Melacanagala and Magadi	/	/	/
6. Clospet and Channapatna	/	/	/
7 District Reserve	/	/	/

It is now proposed to have one San^y Inspector each Taluk along with the Reserve San^y Inspector for the District Board Office wiser the result that 11 San^y Inspectors, 11 A

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

27992 (4

47 up

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. L.B File No. 49 of 1926.

Serial No.

D.O. letter No. A. 37 f/445/27-28 dt 4/12/1927 from the President, District Board of Bangalore

Requests in the circumstances stated sanction to the immediate entertainment of an additional Sanitary Inspector under the District Board to cope with the work pending final orders of Govt on the general question in the matter.

The general question is dealt with in the linked file.

Draft sanctioning the additional hand asked for by the President is put for appl.

15/12/27

D.O. letter no. A. 37-445/27-28. dt 6/3/28 from the President DBD Bangalore, requesting that early orders may be passed re the entertainment of an additional Santy Inspector for work in the District

A draft sanctioning was put up on 15/12/27 but was linked to the general, his case pending on the subject and orders were not issued, pending receipt of replies from all the Dcs

(file put up)

Submitted with the previous papers as directed on the C. U. D.

21/3/28.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

47461 (5

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192

	Serial No.	
7836/23/3		As one additional Sanitary Inspr at least is sanctioned immediately required, this may perhaps be sanctioned Finance Member 23/3
		28/3
		28/3
	47	Issued. Govt no. L 5896-7 of 28/3/28. Government sanction Bangalore temporary sanctions the entertainment of an additional Sanitary Inspector in addition to the existing Sanitary Inspectors retained under the scheme
29/3		

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. C 525/26-27

Bangalore 24th March 1927

REVENUE DEPT. 46852 29 MAR 1927 SELF GOVT. BRANCH

From

B ABDUR RAHMON, ESQ., B.A.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Departments, Bangalore

~~(THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE DISTRICT.)~~

SIR,

I have the honour to state that in accordance with Government Order No.L.3059-124/L.B.25-26-14 dated 12th December 1926, and Government Order No.L.5210-25/L.B.119-26-17 dated 31st January 1926, the question of entertainment of Staff for work under the District Board, was considered by the present District Board at their meeting held on the 28th February 1927. The matter was considered at length, all aspects of the case discussed having due regard to the volume of work now on hand and devolved on the Board under the Regulation and the practical difficulties of the Scheme suggested by the former Finance Committee and along with the financial side of the Scheme, and the Board were unanimously of the opinion that the District Board should entertain the services of a Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk and a Reserve Inspector in the District Board office for the efficient discharge of duties devolved on the District Board. A copy of their resolution is herewith attached for favour of perusal.

2. The duties devolved on the District Board is onerous. Now that the Taluk Boards are abolished, there is no agency in the Taluk to work out their schemes or to carry out their orders. Practical experience goes to prove that the present

(9

arrangement of having one executive subordinate for two taluks is not workable as the attention of these subordinates is divided and neither of the Taluks will have the benefit of their presence. Besides it is a physical impossibility for a single person to attend to works of both Taluks simultaneously. Much of their time will be spent away in travelling from Taluk to Taluk so much so, at times they may be absent in both the Taluks.

3. Creation of facilities for communication and execution of works of public utility are some of the primary duties of the District Board. I may bring to the notice of Government that there has been clamour from parts of all Taluks for the formation of inter roads and roads to link Taluks. There is much to be done in this direction. I am of opinion that any District Board will be failing in its duties, if at least some roads (though not pukka) are not given in the rural areas and the interior opened for traffic. Various proposals are being brought forward for the formation of inter-roads. To carry out the instructions of the Board to have estimates and plans prepared, and to get the works executed in time, and also to study the local conditions of each taluk, it is absolutely necessary that the Board should have an executive assistant under it in each taluk

4. Secondly about the supervision and control of vaccinators' work, to arrest the spread of small pox. This is a very important duty and constant check and supervision over the work of vaccinators is absolutely necessary to bring home to them the importance and necessity of earnest and systematic work. Instances have come to notice of their deriliction of duty even while working under Taluk Boards; much more so, when

(8

there is no controlling agency at all in Taluks. It is of utmost vital importance that there should be a Sanitary Inspector in each Taluk not only to closely supervise their work but also to carry on vaccination work himself in cases of necessity, to prevent the spread of small-pox.

5. Under Section 45, Clause (f) Control and Sanitation during Jatras is one of the obligatory duties of District Boards. Usually jatras are held simultaneously or at intervals of two or three days in most of the Taluks. So it is next to impossibility for a single man to attend to the required duties in two or three places simultaneously and no good work could be expected also.

6 Effecting precautionery measures to arrest the spread of epidemics forms another important duty. There are and may be instances in which epidemics break out in both the Taluks simultaneously. At such time one Sanitary Inspector will not be able to cope with the work or do any useful business in both Taluks as his attention will be divided.

7. Apart from the above, there are the following duties devolved on the Board:

1. Works other than those carried out by the Department of Public Works.
2. Epidemics.
3. Jatras and their sanitation, etc.
4. Shandies and their collection, etc.
5. Vaccination and supervising over the work of vaccinators and fixing programmes under the Kurnool system.
6. Supervision over the Vydyasalas and forwarding their monthly accounts.
7. To be in charge of District Board properties.
8. Supervision and control over Musafirkhanas, Travellers Bungalows, and other public institutions and the construction and repair of all buildings connected with these institutions, and Caretakers' work.

9

9 Measures necessary for the public health, sanitation and other local services affecting villages under different panchayets
10. Management of public ferries.
11. Relief works in time of famine or scarcity.
12. Other local works or measures likely to promote the health, safety, comfort, convenience, interest or welfare of the public, and
13. Registration of Births and Deaths and their compilation, Hobliwar, and Taluk-war, and
14. Furnishing information on all matters pertaining to taluk for the District administration report, etc.

8 Under the above circumstances, the District Board have considered it necessary to entertain an executive subordinate helped by a clerk and a peon in each taluk to successfully carry out the duties and the instructions of the Board, along with a Sanitary Inspector in the District Board office, as a reserve to act in causual vacancies and also to check measurements, scrutinize the estimates and bills of different taluks

9 The former District Board and Taluk Boards were entertaining 12 Sanitary Inspectors, 10 attenders and 12 peons and 10 Clerks, whereas existing Board have resolved to entertain 11 Sanitary Inspectors, 10 attenders and 11 peons under them instead of 7 Sanitary Inspectors, 7 peons and 6 attenders, as suggested by the Finance Committee and approved by Government. The entertainment of one Sanitary Inspector for two taluks will entail in more expenditure in the matter of Travelling Allowance, as these have to ordinarily travel between two taluks several times, and, more so, during the outbreak of epidemics and supervision of works during the later part of official year. Thus there may be a heavy expense by way of Travelling allowance and mileage and the same may be considerably minimised, if there is one man for each Taluk. The Travelling Allowance that may be earned by a Sanitary Inspector working in two Taluks will be between Rs.25/- to Rs.30/-

whereas that earned by a Sanitary Inspector working in a single Taluk may not be more than Rs.10/- per mensem. So the savings in Travelling allowance may be about Rs.140/- and the increase by appointing 4 more Sanitary Inspectors on an average of Rs.50/- per mensem will be about Rs.200/- per mensem. The increase will be about Rs.60/- per mensem, or Rs.720/- a year, which is not much, in consideration of the efficiency and promptness, with which the work will be done.

10. The fact that the District Boards are deprived of the income from Mohatarfa will not effect their resources, as hereafter under the statute the entire income (i.e.,76%) of the Cess on land revenue will revert to the District Board, which will be about double the income realisable from Mohatarfa tax. Besides, there are other sources of income, such as fees from shandies, Interest on Investments, etc., etc., as such there will be no financial embarrassment for the entertainment of the staff now proposed by the District Board and for meeting the incidental expenditure for works of public utility.

11. In the interest and efficiency of the District Board work and from my experience of the past 4 years, I strongly recommend the resolution of the Board to Government, and request you to be good enough to kindly move Government to accord their sanction to the proposal of the District Board.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

B A Rahman

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

(11

Proceedings of the First Ordinary Meeting of the Bangalore District Board, held on the 28th February 1927.

=====

xx xx xx

Read:

Letter No.L.5210-25/L.B.119-26-17 dated 31st January 1927 from Government giving suggestions, re: the entertainment of staff under the new District Board.

Resolution: After consideration of all aspects of the question, Government orders on the subject along with the resolution of the Finance Committee and the work devolved on the District Board under the new District Board Regulation, it was resolved that the services of one Sanitary Inspector is necessary for each Taluk, along with a Reserve Sanitary Inspector in the District Board Office, instead of one Sanitary Inspector for two taluks as resolved by the Finance Committe as each of the Taluks has got a lot of works to be carried out; and that the following Executive Staff be entertained for work under the District Board.

11 Sanitary Inspectors, 11 peons and 10 attenders

xx xx xx

Sd/- B. Abdur Rahmon,

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

=======
"EXTRACT"

B A Rahmon

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. 525/26-27

Bangalore 24th March 1927

47642

From

B. ABDUR RAHMON, ESQ., B.A.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Departments, Bangalore.

(THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE DISTRICT.)

SIR,

I have the honour to state that in accordance with Government Order No.L.3059-124/L.B.25-26-14 dated 12th December 1926, and Government Order No.L.5210-25/L.B.119-26-17 dated 31st January 1926, the question of entertainment of Staff for work under the District Board, was considered by the present District Board at their meeting held on the 28th February 1927. The matter was considered at length, all aspects of the case discussed having due regard to the vc ume of work now on hand and devolved on the Board under the Regulation and the practical difficulties of the Scheme suggested by the former Finance Committee and along with the financial side of the Scheme. and the Board were unanimously of the opinion that the District Board should entertain the services of a Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk and a Reserve Inspector in the District Board office for the efficient discharge of duties devolved on the District Board. A copy of their resolution is herewith attached for favour of perusal.

2. The duties devolved on the District Board is onerous Now that the Taluk Boards are abolished, there is no agency in the Taluk to work out their schemes or to carry out their orders. Practical experience goes to prove that the present

arrangement of having one executive subordinate for two taluks is not workable as the attention of these subordinates is divided and neither of the Taluks will have the benefit of their presence. Besides it is a physical impossibility for a single person to attend to works of both Taluks simultaneously. Much of their time will be spent away in travelling from Taluk to Taluk, so much so at times they may be absent in both the Taluks.

3. Creation of facilities for communication and execution of works of public utility are some of the primary duties of the District Board. I may bring to the notice of Government that there has been clamour from parts of all Taluks for the formation of inter roads and roads to link Taluks. There is much to be done in this direction. I am of opinion that any District Board will be failing in its duties, if at least some roads (though not pukka) are not given in the rural areas, and the interior opened for traffic. Various proposals are being brought forward for the formation of inter-roads. To carry out the instructions of the Board, to have estimates and plans prepared, and to get the works executed in time, and also to study the local conditions of each taluk, it is absolutely necessary that the Board should have an executive assistant under it in each taluk

4. Secondly about the supervision and control of vaccinators' work, to arrest the spread of small pox. This is a very important duty and constant check, and supervision over the work of vaccinators is absolutely necessary to bring home to them the importance and necessity of earnest and systematic work. Instances have come to notice of their deriliction of duty even while working under Taluk Boards; much more so, when

[illegible]

5. [illegible] Sanitation [illegible] District Board. [illegible] Taluks [illegible] simultaneously [illegible]

6. [illegible] are and may be instances in which epidemics break out in both the Taluks simultaneously. At such time one Sanitary Inspector will not be able to cope with the work or do any useful business in both Taluks, as his attention will be divided.

7. Apart from the above, there are the following duties devolved on the Board:

1. Works other than those carried out by the Department of Public Works.
2. Epidemics.
3. Jatras and their sanitation, etc.
4. Shandies and their collection, etc.
5. Vaccination and supervising over the work of vaccinators and fixing programmes under the Kurnool system.
6. Supervision over the Vydyasalas and forwarding their monthly accounts.
7. To be in charge of District Board properties.
8. Supervision and control over Musafirkhanas, Travellers' Bungalows, and other public institutions, and the construction and repair of all buildings connected with these institutions and Officers' work.

9. Measures necessary for the public health, sanitation and other local services affecting villages under different panchayets.
10. Management of public ferries.
11. Relief works in time of famine or scarcity.
12. Other local works or measures likely to promote the health, safety, comfort, convenience, interest or welfare of the public, and
13. Registration of Births and Deaths and their compilation, Hobliwar, and Taluk-war, and
14. Furnishing information on all matters pertaining to taluk for the District administration eport, etc.

8. Under the above circumstances, the District Board have considered it necessary to entertain an executive subordinate helped by a clerk and a peon in each taluk to successfully carry out the duties and the instructions of the Board, along with a Sanitary Inspector in the District Board office as a reserve to act in causual vacancies and also to check measurements, scrutinize the estimates and bills of different taluks.

9. The former District Board and Taluk Boards were entertaining 12 Sanitary Inspectors, 10 attenders and 12 peons and 10 Clerks, whereas existing Board have resolved to entertain 11 Sanitary Inspectors, 10 attenders and 11 peons under them instead of 7 Sanitary Inspectors, 7 peons and 6 attenders, as suggested by the Finance Committee and approved by Government. The entertainment of one Sanitary Inspector for two taluks will entail in more expenditure in the matter of Travelling Allowance, as these have to ordinarily travel between two taluks several times, and more so, during the outbreak of epidemics and supervision of works during the later part of official year. Thus there may be a heavy expense by way of Travelling allowance and mileage and the same may be considerably minimised, if there is one man for each Taluk. The Travelling Allowance that may be earned by a Sanitary Inspector working in two Taluks will be between Rs.25/- to Rs.30/-

(17)

Proceedings of the First Ordinary Meeting of the Bangalore District Board, held on the 28th February 1927.

=====

xx xx xx

Read:

Letter No.L.5210-25/L.B.119-26-17 dated 31st January 1927 from Government giving suggestions, re: the entertainment of staff under the new District Board.

Resolution: After consideration of all aspects of the question, Government orders on the subject along with the resolution of the Finance Committee and the work devolved on the District Board under the newmDistrict Board Regulation, it was resolved that the services of one Sanitary Inspector is necessary for each Taluk, along with a Reserve Sanitary Inspector in the District Board Office, instead of one Sanitary Inspector for two taluks, as resolved by the Finance Committe, as each of the Taluks has got a lot of works to be carried out; and that the following Executive Staff be entertained for work under the District Board.

11 Sanitary inspectors, 11 peons and 110 attenders.

xx xx xx

Sd/- B. Abdur Rahmon,

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

=======

EXTRACT

B A Rahmon

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

(18)

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. A.37-445/27-28

Bangalore, 10th December 1927.

Superintendent please put the file and 13/12

Dr 27/29 18/12/29

Dear Sir,

I write to remind you of my personal interview regarding the entertainment of one Sanitary Inspector for each of the Taluks in the District. As personally explained by me, I request that at least one Sanitary Inspector may immediately be sanctioned, as it has been found very difficult for one Sanitary Inspector to manage both the Hosakote and Anekal Taluks. The distance in this jurisdiction from one end to another is about 60 miles and the subordinate finds it physically impossible to manage with the work. To quote an instance, the jatra at Upparahalli has to be organised by the District Board. The Sanitary Inspector of this Circle had to stop away at Hosakote for nearly 10 days and thus the Anekal Taluk is without a man. The Reserve Sanitary Inspector has also been deputed for special duty at Hosakote. The Sanitary Inspector has to stop at Hosakote alone for about 8 or 10 days more. Such instances do happen hereafter. Especially this Circle is very extensive and it is not possible for one official to manage the work. So, as personally explained, I request you to kindly accord sanction for the entertainment of atleast one hand immediately to cope with the work, pending final orders of Government in the matter. Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

B N Rahman

as.

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Under Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No.A.37-445/27-28.

Bangalore, 16th March 1928

47462
21/3/28

Dear Sir,

In inviting your kind reference to this office letter No. C. 525/26-27 dated 27th May 1927 and subsequent interview with Mr. M. Venkatasaiengar, M.A., Under Secretary to Government, and also my D.O. addressed to him on 12th December 1927 regarding the immediate sanctioning of an additional Sanitary Inspector for work in the District, I bring to the notice of Government that it has been found very difficult to manage the work of the Hosakote and Anekal Taluks with one Sanitary Inspector and there has been a great demand for the entertainment of a separate Sanitary Inspector for the Hosakote Taluk itself. I request you to kindly sanction the entertainment of one Sanitary Inspector at least immediately, pending the sanction of my proposals regarding the entertainment of one Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk in the District. I request the favour of early orders in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

B A Kalimuthu

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L.S.G.)

the *192*

Date of issue.... 24-3-1928

Signed by.... M.R.T. K.S. Rao

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section L.G.

File No. 49-26

Serial No. 47

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Circular letter No. L 5210-25/L.3 119-26-27 & dated 31st Jan. 1927 suggesting that every District Board constituted under the Mysore District Boards' Regn., 1926 might retain only two or three Sanitary Inspectors and that, if more were necessary, their duties should be clearly indicated for enabling Govt. to pass orders in the matter

2 Letter No. 320/26-27 S. dated 8th March 1927 from the President of the District Board of Bangalore

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

a Sanitary Inspector in addition to the existing Sanitary Inspectors retained under the District Board of Bangalore, pending some final orders on the general question now engaging the consideration of Govt.

28/3 Genl Sec

To The President, District Board of Bangalore, thro' the Dy Comr Bangalore Dist.

Contd

15/12/27

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

(23)

Read:—

Circular letter No.L.5210-25/L.B.119-26-27 dated the 31st January 1927 suggesting that every District Board constituted under the Mysore District Board's Regulation,1926, might retain only two or three Sanitary Inspectors and that, if more were necessary, their duties should be clearly indicated for enabling Government to pass orders in the matter.

2. Letter No.525/26-27 dated the 25th March 1927 from the President of the District Board of Bangalore submitting with reference to the suggestion contained in the Circular letter dated 31st January 1927 read above, a copy of the resolution of the District Board proposing to retain 11 Sanitary Inspectors including 1 Reserve Sanitary Inspector in the District Board Office, at the rate of 1 Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk in the District and defining also the duties to be assigned to them.

3. Letter No.A.37-445/27-28 dated the 10th/12th December 1927 from the President, District Board of Bangalore stating that the existing arrangement of deputing one Sanitary Inspector to look after both the Hoskote and Anekal Taluks has been found physically impossible and requesting therefore sanction to the entertainment of at least one more hand immediately to cope with the work, pending final orders of Government on the proposals of the District Board submitted with his letter of the 24th March 1927 read above.

4. Demi-official letter No.A.37-445/27-28 dated 16th March 1928 from the President, District Board, Bangalore on the subject.

Order No.L.

Dated, Bangalore, the March 1928.

Government are pleased to sanction the entertainment of a

Sanitary